



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,015	07/03/2001	Hajime Kikkawa	01-166	9185
23400	7590	03/04/2005	EXAMINER	
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE SUITE 10 RESTON, VA 20190			TON, ANTHONY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/897,015

Applicant(s)

KIKKAWA ET AL.

Examiner

Anthony T Ton

Art Unit

2661

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 July 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 5 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6 and 7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4 and 8 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

PHIRIN SAM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/6/03 and 7/3/01.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification Objection*

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- a) Term “a second SR **sections**” in page 3, line 22 is improper.

Examiner suggests changing this term to “a second SR **section**”.

- b) Term “**the** bits” in page 9, line 25 is improper.

Examiner suggests changing this term to “**data** bits”.

Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Objections*

2. **Claims 1, 3, 7 and 8** are objected to because of the following informalities:

- a) **In Claim 1**: Term “each of the data **frame**” in line 4 is improper.

Examiner suggests changing this term to “each of the data **frames**”.

- b) **In Claim 3**: Term “if the identifier is **set.s**” in line 29 is improper.

Examiner suggests changing this term to “if the identifier is **set.**”.

- c) **In Claims 7 and 8**: Term “in claim **5**” in line 1 is improper since the claim 5 did not claim a **method**, but the claim 5 claimed a **node**, in the mean while, claim 6 has claimed such a method.

Examiner believes that this is a typographical error.

Examiner suggests changing this term to “in claim **6**”.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. **Claims 1, 3 and 6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Sugimoto et al.* (US Patent No. Re. 33,426) hereinafter referred to as *Sugimoto*.

a) **In Regarding to Claim 1:** *Sugimoto* disclosed a data relay unit for receiving and sending data frames through a communication line (*see Fig.4*) comprising:

a plurality send/receive means for sending and receiving data frames (*see Fig.4: blocks 14-1 and 14-2*), each of the data frames being received by a first send/receive means which is one of the send/receive means and sent by a second send/receive means which is another one of the send/receive means (*see Fig.4 and col.6 lines 10-23*);

an identifier setting means for setting an identifier which is a predetermined portion of the data frame received by the first send/receive means to a value which represents that the identifier is set before the data frame is sent by the second send/receive means (*see Fig.7: a first portion (a predetermined portion of a data frame) in sending parameter SP1 and SP2; and col.5 lines 6-24: it sets "1" for the relay indication flag RF (identifier)*); and

a relay inhibiting means for checking whether the identifier of the data frame received by the first send/receive means is set (*see Fig.8: block 21; and col.14 lines 24-34: judge means*) and inhibiting the data frame from being sent by the second send/receive means if the identifier is set (*see Fig.10: YES in step 204, and NO in step 205*).

b) **In Regarding to Claim 3:** *Sugimoto* disclosed all aspects of a data relay unit of this claim as set forth in the claim 1; and

*Sugimoto* further disclosed a multiplex communication system comprising:  
a plurality of networks each of which comprises a communication line and a plurality of nodes connected to the communication line (*see Fig.1: R1-R3 (networks and communication lines) and A1-A6 (communication nodes)*), the nodes communicating each other by exchanging data frames (*see col.4 lines 36-42: Source station A1 and Destination station A2; and col.4 line 59-col.5 line 5: data frame*), a predetermined portion of each of the data frames being assigned to an identifier (*see Fig.7: a first portion (a predetermined portion of a data frame) in sending parameter SP1 and SP2, and RF (identifier)*).

c) **In Regarding to Claim 6:** all subject matters of this claim are the same as that of claim 1. Therefore, the rejections to the claim 1 would also apply to reject this claim in a method as taught.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 2 and 7** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Sugimoto et al.* (US Patent No. Re. 33,426).

a) **In Regarding to Claim 2:** *Sugimoto* disclosed all aspects of this claim as set forth in claim 1; and

*Sugimoto* further disclosed

wherein a predetermined bit of a priority field of each data frame is assigned to the identifier as the predetermined portion of the data frame (*see Fig. 7: a first portion (a predetermined portion of a data frame) in sending parameter SP1 and SP2; and col.5 lines 6-24: it sets "1" for the relay indication flag RF (identifier)*).

*Sugimoto* fails to explicitly disclose the priority field holds a priority which represents a level of priority which the data frame has over other data frames when the data frame is sent over a communication line.

However, *Sugimoto* inherently discloses this subject matter of the instant claim because *Sugimoto* discloses a relay flag RF in a data frame, wherein a received data frame is transmitted by the bridge station (relay unit) to the transmission line R2, the station judges whether RF =1 or 0. In the case, the RF =1, the data should be relayed by the station without changing the received data frame, and in the case the RF = 0, a received data frame, in which the address of a predetermined destination is set for destination address and the number of transmission line R2 and the address of the station are set for source address, is sent (*hence, with RF = 1 or = 0, it holds a priority level*) (*see col.5 lines 24-36*); and

*Sugimoto* also fails to explicitly disclose the identifier is defined so that the priority of the data frame becomes higher when the identifier is set.

However, *Sugimoto* inherently discloses this subject matter of the instant claim because *Sugimoto* discloses a relay flag RF in a data frame, in the case the data frame is relayed by the

Art Unit: 2661

bridge station between transmission lines, the station sets the  $RF = 1$  (*hence, with  $RF = 1$ , it holds a higher priority level*) (*see col.5 lines 41-53*).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art can provide such subject matters of the instant claim throughout a bridge station such as B1 or B2, or even a node such as A1 to A6 and frames as shown in Figs.1 and 2 of *Sugimoto* as a design choice, the motivation being to relay data frames throughout a communication relay unit more efficient and the transmission throughput can be increased remarkably (*see col.3 line 20-21*). Thus, it would have been obvious to implement such a bridge station of *Sugimoto* in the invention as specified in the claim.

b) **In Regarding to Claim 7:** all subject matters of this claim are the same as that of claim 2. Therefore, the rejection to the claim 2 would also apply to reject this claim in a method as taught.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

7. **Claim 5** is allowed.

8. **Claims 4 and 8** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


#### ***Conclusion***

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Anthony T Ton** whose telephone number is **571-272-3076**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Chau Nguyen** can be reached on **571-272-3126**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

by:   
Anthony T. Ton  
*Patent Examiner*  
February 25, 2005

  
**PHIRIN SAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**